### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

# **PCT**

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

| Applicant's or agent's file reference NEC04P288   | FOR FURTHER ACTION   | See item 4 below   |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| International application No. PCT/JP2005/002904   | International filing date (day/month/year) 23 February 2005 (23.02.2005) | Priority date (day/month/year) 27 February 2004 (27.02.2004) |  |  |  |  |
| International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant NEC CORPORATION   |  | •  |  |  |  |  |

|   |  |   | ·   |  |  |  |
|---|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| 1.  | This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis.1(a).  |   |   |  |  |  |
| 2.  | This REPORT consists of a total of 4 sheets, including this cover sheet.   |   |   |  |  |  |
|   | In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.  |   |   |  |  |  |
| 3.  | This report contains indications relating to the following items:  |   |   |  |  |  |
|   | Box No. I  | Basis of the report   |   |  |  |  |
| •   | Box No. II   | Priority  |   |  |  |  |
|   | Box No. III  | Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability  |   |  |  |  |
|   | Box No. IV   | Lack of unity of invention  |   |  |  |  |
|   | Box No. V  | Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement |   |  |  |  |
|   | Box No. VI   | Certain documents cited   |   |  |  |  |
|   | Box No. VII  | Certain defects in the international application  |   |  |  |  |
|   | Box No. VIII   | Certain observations on the international application   |   |  |  |  |
| 4.  | 4. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44bis.2). |   |   |  |  |  |
|   |  |   |   |  |  |  |
|   | •  |   | Date of issuance of this report<br>19 September 2006 (19.09.2006) |  |  |  |
| The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland |  |   | Authorized officer Yoshiko Kuwahara                               |  |  |  |
|   |  |   | e-mail: pt07@wipo.int   |  |  |  |
| Correct 1   | PCT/IR/373 (January 2004)  |   |   |  |  |  |

### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

TRANSLATION From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY To: WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION **NECO4P288** See paragraph 2 below International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) 27.02.2004 23.02.2005 PCT/JP2005/002904 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC Applicant NEC CORPORATION This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Basis of the opinion Box No. I Box No. II Priority Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. III Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial Box No. V applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application **FURTIIER ACTION** 2. If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. 3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. Name and mailing address of the ISA/JP Authorized officer Telephone No.

Facsimile No.

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/JP2005/002904

| Bo | x No. I | Basis of this opinion  |
|----|---------|--|
| 1. |         | regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was unless otherwise indicated under this item.  |
|    |         | This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language   |
|    | -       | , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under   |
|    |         | Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)).  |
| 2. |         | regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed attion, this opinion has been established on the basis of:   |
|    | a.      | type of material   |
|    |         | a sequence listing   |
|    |         | table(s) related to the sequence listing   |
|    | b.      | format of material   |
|    |         | in written format  |
|    |         | in computer readable form  |
|    | c.      | time of filing/furnishing  |
|    |         | contained in the international application as filed.   |
|    |         | filed together with the international application in computer readable form.   |
|    |         | furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.   |
| 3. |         | In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished. |
| 4. | Addi    | tional comments:   |
|    |         |  |
|    |         |  |
|    |         | •  |
|    |         |  |
|    |         |  |
|    |         | ·  |
|    |         |  |
|    |         |  |
|    |         |  |
|    |         | -  |
|    |         | •  |
|    |         |  |
|    |         |  |
|    |         |  |
|    |         |  |
|    |         | •  |
|    |         |  |

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/JP2005/002904

| Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applications and explanations supporting such statement |                    | tive step or industrial applicability; |      |       |
|--|--------------------|--|------|-------|
| J.   | Statement          |  |      |       |
|  | Novelty (N)        | Claims                                 | 1-13 | YES   |
|  |                    | Claims                                 |      | NO NO |
|  | Inventive step (19 | S) Claims                              | 1-13 | YES   |
|  |                    | Claims                                 |      | NO    |
|  | Industrial applica | ability (IA) Claims                    | 1-13 | YES   |
|  |                    | Claims                                 |      | NO    |
|  |                    |  |      |       |

#### 2. Citations and explanations:

Document 1: JP, 2004-43641, A (Sekisui Chemical Co., Ltd.), 12 February, 2004 (12.02.04)

Document 2: US, 6379797, B1 (Tohoku Munekata Co., Ltd.), 30 April, 2002 (30.04.02)

Document 3: JP, 8-104775, A (Kanebo, Ltd.), 23 April, 1996 (23.04.96)

### Claims 1-11

The subject matters of claims 1-11 appear to involve an inventive step in view of documents 1-3 cited in the ISR.

Documents 1-3 do not describe that a flame retardant resin composition contains a polycarbonate-based resin and particles of a composite consisting of silicon dioxide and aluminum oxide with its 50% particle size in a range from 1 to 10  $\mu$ m. On the other hand, the invention of the present application with this constitution exhibits an advantageous effect of having excellent flame retardancy.

### Claim 12

The subject matter of claim 12 appears to involve an inventive step in view of documents 1-3 cited in the ISR.

Documents 1-3 do not describe that a flame retardant molding material contains the said specific flame retardant resin composition. On the other hand, the invention of the present application with this constitution exhibits an advantageous effect of having excellent flame retardancy.

### Claim 13

The subject matter of claim 13 appears to involve an inventive step in view of documents 1-3 cited in the ISR.

Documents 1-3 do not describe that a molded article is obtained by molding the said specific flame retardant resin composition. On the other hand, the invention of the present application with this constitution exhibits an advantageous effect of having excellent flame retardancy.